## VERMONT LAW SCHOOL





CONNECTICUT RIVE

Environmental and Natural Resources Law Clinic Vermont Law School PO Box 96, 164 Chelsea Street South Royalton, VT 05068 802-831-1630 (phone) • 802-831-1631 (fax)

August 24, 2012

Deborah Markowitz, Secretary Vermont Agency of Natural Resources Center Building, 103 South Main Street Waterbury, Vermont 05671-0301 Deb.markowitz@state.vt.us

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

## Dear Secretary Markowitz:

Connecticut River Watershed Council submits the attached report, Review of Vermont Yankee Thermal Discharge Permit Requirements & Analysis of Connecticut River Water Temperature & Flow, in connection with the NPDES permit renewal process for the Vermont Yankee nuclear power plant. The report is authored by HydroAnalysis, Inc., which also authored our previously submitted report, Review of Vermont Yankee Thermal Discharge Modeling (Feb. 6, 2012).

The report has two major findings. First, the equation used to calculate compliance with the temperature limits in Vermont Yankee's existing NPDES permit (Equation 1.1) is inappropriate because it improperly assumes complete mixing of the thermal discharge in the Connecticut River. Second, actual ambient temperatures in the fishway and at the downstream monitoring station are routinely several degrees higher than the NPDES-permitted temperatures, with temperature rise extending at least 22.5 miles downstream.

We provide the following recommendations as identified in the report. Because Equation 1.1 relies upon faulty assumptions, it should be replaced with a more appropriate, accurate, and protective approach in the renewal permit. Until that time, Vermont Yankee should be required to report its hourly heat rejection rate to the River. Without this data, ANR cannot determine whether Vermont Yankee is in compliance with its existing permit limits. Further, the heat rejection data could be helpful in analyzing the relationship between Vermont Yankee's thermal

input and ambient water temperatures in the River. Finally, the elevated temperatures in the thermal plume should be evaluated in relation to their harmful effects on fish species in the River.

The findings of this report provide further evidence that the temperature limits in Vermont Yankee's expired permit are not adequate to maintain a healthy thermal environment for the aquatic species in the Connecticut River. Entergy has not met its burden to show that its discharge has not and will not harm the balanced, indigenous population of fish, shellfish, and wildlife in and on the River. We maintain that the renewal NPDES permit should be issued without a variance at this time, limiting Vermont Yankee's discharge to 1°F above ambient consistent with Vermont Water Quality Standards.

Thank you for your consideration, and please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions.

Sincerely,

Laura Murphy

Associate Director & Assistant Professor

(802) 831-1123

lmurphy@vermontlaw.edu

David Deen River Steward

Aquid L

(802) 869-2792

ddeen@ctriver.org

Cc: VT: Ken Cox, Rich Langdon, Lael Will, Pete LaFlamme, Carol Carpenter, Catherine

Gjessing, Jon Groveman, Mary Borg

NH: Gabe Gries, David Neils

MA: Caleb Slater, Gerry Szal, Bob Maietta USFWS: Ken Sprankle, Melissa Grader